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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Clinical reasoning (CR) is the cornerstone of medical curricula. It is the cognitive process of solving patient’s problem. Different teaching methods are advocated to teach clinical reasoning. Each has its advantages and disadvantages. Aim: to introduce and discuss the fishbone format as a systematic structured method to enhance teaching and learning of clinical reasoning to medical students in different teaching settings. Method: The format consists of a head, six bones and the tail. The head represents the presenting problem or complaint; the bones represent the different steps of the clinical reasoning. The first bone of defining the presenting problem is expanded to include the basic sciences. In addition we add a sixth rib for complication/prognosis and the tail is kept for the prevention. Results: The format can be used in different teaching settings such as, lecture theatre, small group discussion, tutorial, skills lab, and clinical settings. It will help preceptors to facilitate and guide teaching of clinical problem in a holistic logical sequence. It encompasses both models of clinical reasoning, pattern of recognition and the hypothetico-deductive one. Conclusion: This is a simple schemata aims to guide trainers and students to see and learn the full picture of patient management and emphasize on integration rather than isolation of clinical competence domains during teaching activities. Teachers and learners of other fields of science will find it helpful as well.
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INTRODUCTION

Clinical reasoning (CR) is the cognitive process of solving patient’s problem and the cornerstone of medical curricula. Researchers have advocated many theories about this process such as the hypothetic-deductive (analytic process) and pattern recognition (non-analytic process or intuitive process) separately or in combination (1). According to Barrows & Tamblyn (2), it consists of five steps or elements. There is no optimal method to teach clinical reasoning because it depends on both situational and personal factors. Nevertheless, many attempts and strategies are posited to facilitate teaching and learning of clinical solving problems particularly at the early years of the medical schools so as to improve such skill later on (1-6). However, neither of these strategies addresses the clinical reasoning formally and explicitly.
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On the other hand, clerkship students have limited contact time with patients and hardly any reflection at the bedside teaching which compromises their clinical problem-solving capabilities; hence delay of introducing clinical reasoning might be the cause of poor performance of student’s medical solving problem (7). These factors urge researchers to develop and submit new thoughts and ideas to address the teaching of CR (8).

Table 1: Main elements and description of fishbone format in relation to the model of Clinical reasoning related to that of Barrows & Tamblyn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishbone format element</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Clinical Reasoning Step (hypothetico-deductive form)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>The presenting complaint, disease’s feature or abnormal result</td>
<td>Perception</td>
<td>Include the non-analytical form of CR (intuitive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First bone</td>
<td>Define the problem/disease; include anatomy, physiology, pathology (mechanism of the clinical features)</td>
<td>Hypothesis generation</td>
<td>Preferred in generic grouping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second bone</td>
<td>Etiology/causes/differential diagnosis, in a broader categories such as: infection, trauma, metabolic, neoplastic etc.</td>
<td>Ranking</td>
<td>Student has to summarize relevant points for problem formulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third bone</td>
<td>Clinical picture: detailed history with its main elements i.e. personal data, chief complaint, present illness, past medical history, drugs and allergy, social, and vaccination. Full examination from head to feet</td>
<td>Ranking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth bone</td>
<td>Investigations: basic and diseased specific</td>
<td>Ranking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifth bone</td>
<td>Treatment: supportive and diseased specific</td>
<td>Closure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixth bone</td>
<td>Complications and prognosis: complication due to the disease or secondary to our intervention. It is categorized in systemic based approach such as cardiac, hepatic renal etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Will enhance communication skills and deep understanding of disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>Prevention: primary (disease specific) and secondary (community based)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Emphasize the community oriented approach and patient education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fishbone Development**

The idea of the fishbone format began at the skill lab in our medical school (Hadramout University College of Medicine, HUCOM) which adopts problem based learning (PBL) since its establishment in 1997. The skill lab is one of its main functioning units. The skill lab sessions used to teach students the technical aspects of clinical competence in isolation using checklists where students tend to swallow and regurgitate them in the exam. They hardly any receive problem solving skill’s approach explicitly. We have changed the session into a clinical problem solving and incorporated the technical skills into it. In addition to the five clinical reasoning steps of Barrows & Tamblyn (perception, generation of hypothesis, ranking, problem formulation, and
closure) we add complication/prognosis and prevention to ensure a holistic problem solving approach. On the assessment method, we add to the mini-objective structural clinical examination, paper tests in the form of patient solving problem according to the students’ level and block problems.

We have extended our approach to clerkship students who were found to have difficulty in structuring their overall clinical problem solving. They look unconfident and lack the efficient and logical sequence and wander between the different steps of the clinical reasoning and some of them even have difficulty at the starting point. Complication and prevention are nearly forgotten unless they are asked specifically.

The same approach has been applied in the lecture hall and small group discussion including the tutorials.

The fishbone format (Method)

It consists of a head, six bones and a tail (Fig 1). The format is laid in this form to be easily remembered, absorbed and assessed.

The head

It is the presenting problem whether a complaint, abnormal result, or a picture. We remind the student that the disease can presents in different scenarios. The head represents the perception step/element of clinical reasoning of Barrows & Tamblyn. It includes patient’s personal data and contextual factors, thereby enabling students to explore and improve their pattern of recognition (intuitive reasoning). An example is a jaundice in a three day old baby will differ from that of an adult.

The above three bones

It includes definition, etiology, and clinical pictures

The first bone: Define or clarifying the presenting problem

The definition is expanded to include basic science such as pathophysiology and anatomy so as to help the student to explain and understand the patients’ symptoms and clinical signs. An example is chest or abdominal pain, the students have to know the underlying anatomical structures from which the pain could be originated and pathology so as to generate hypotheses. We aim for integration of basic and clinical sciences and focus on the basic mechanism of the disease so as to improve the mental representation of clinical reasoning (9) as well as enhancing and developing the pattern of recognition and initiating the forward reasoning in complex or rare condition (1).

The second bone: Etiology/hypotheses/differential diagnosis

It is the generation of hypotheses of the CR which is guided by the basic sciences discussed above. An example is the hypotheses generation of a child with puffiness of the face where the pathophysiology of oedema is defined early in the first bone. We asked the students to think in generic grouping such as renal, cardiac, gastrointestinal etc. rather than specific disease as nephritic/nephrotic syndrome or heart failure. We augment our session by pictures. Students are asked to confirm or refute the diagnosis or ranking the diagnosis through the next bone using hypothetico-deductive approach so as to avoid error of the non-analytic model of CR (1). We try to accommodate the block problems in our hypotheses so as to consolidate and integrate the session with the theoretical discussions given elsewhere in the curriculum.

The third bone: Clinical feature

It includes the detailed history and physical examination. On the physical examination, the students are actively involved in the process which is supported by pictures, role play, etc. according to the teaching setting. In the small group such as in the skill lab the trainer encourages the group to summarize their finding
and formulate the problem (problem formulation of CR) and ask one student to present it in front of the whole group so as to improve presentation skill. In the skills lab or clinical setting the students then practice physical examination under the supervision of the trainer. We ask the students to structure their examination from the general examination to specific examination using some of the acronyms to remember if applicable such as JACCOL (Jaundice, Anaemia, Cyanosis, Clubbing, Oedema, and Lymphadenopathy) and AVPU (alert, verbal response, pain response and unresponsive) for assessing mental status. This stage is ended by a summary of the problem into a provisional diagnosis or limited hypotheses and management plan.

**The lower three bones**

It involves investigation, treatment (closure), and complication/prognosis.

**The fourth bone: Investigations**

This is the complementary step of ranking the hypotheses. We divide it into basic and case specific investigations. The numbers of basic tests vary and the students have to justify their investigations’ request and to consider the specificity/sensitivity and the cost of the test so as to improve their reflection and efficiency.

**The fifth bone: Treatment**

This is the closure step of CR of Barrows &Tamblyn. It could be treatment, referral or reassurance. The students have to realize that treatment could be medical, psychological or both for the patients and their relatives. They are taught and reminded to support and stabilize the critically ill patient and surgical conditions before embarking on specific treatment.

**The sixth bone: Complication/prognosis**

This is divided into disease and treatment related complications particularly to drugs and invasive procedures or surgery. We prefer systemic approach to complications such as nervous, cardiac, renal, hepatic etc. We encourage our students to discuss the prognosis of diseases so as to improve their communication skills with patients and deepen their understanding of the disease process.

**The tail of the fish: Prevention**

This is the end of our holistic management approach and to ensure the community orientation of our curriculum. We divide it into primary and secondary prevention. The primary is related to specific preventive measures of the disease such as immunization (passive and active) and screening for diseases. The secondary measure is related to prevent the spread of the disease which is covered comprehensively by the community department staff.
**Discussion & Result**

Our fishbone format extends beyond the CR steps of Barrows & Tamblyn and the schematic diagnostic approach of University of Calgary (CPC 1992). It includes complication/prognosis and prevention and addressed the patient problem holistically and explicitly rather than in fragmented specifics.

Our fishbone incorporates the basic sciences to explain the mechanism of disease and helps understanding the clinical features and adopts reasoning steps of Barrows & Tamblyn to confirm or refute hypotheses. It helps as well the approach to complex/difficult cases faced the students’ intuitive reasoning (1). It will help the teacher to diagnose the student’s level of diagnostic reasoning as it involves hypothesis generation and refining by comparing and contrasting (10).

For pre-clerkship students our aim is to introduce and discuss the steps of the CR through the fishbone format, and focus mainly on the above bones and to less extent lower ones.

During application of this approach particularly in the skills laboratory, there were some reservations and hesitancy particularly from second and third year students because of the radical change of the skills lab session and the exam method from the technical aspect into a problem solving one. We try to overcome their skepticism by reminding them our approach is similar to what is adopted in other countries and it is the key element to prepare them for clerkship rotation which will give them the opportunity to think and behave like the experts (11).

I find the approach very helpful to guide and ease my lecture as well as to overcome lecturalagia (12).

The main limitations for implementing such an approach were, the strong internal forces from the laggards resisting the change in the skill lab (13), students’ resentment of their low score in the new exam technique particularly the skill lab.

**Conclusion**

Data on clinical reasoning remain theoretical and expert opinion, and its understanding and teaching is diverse and complex. Nevertheless, we should keep up the momentum of teaching and learning of clinical reasoning to medical students by using different strategies. Our approach would help early medical students to prepare them to become expert clinical problem solver during their clerkship.
Practical Points

- Clinical reasoning is the cornerstone skill of medical schools graduates
- Hypothetico-deductive and pattern separately or in combination are the main forms of clinical reasoning
- The Hypthetico-deductive form is easily constructed and adopted strategy and consists of perception, generation of hypothesis, refining, problem formulation, and closure
- Clinical reasoning could be introduced to early undergraduate medical student through different strategies such as fishbone format
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